Heterodehydrocoupling of Phosphines and Silanes Catalyzed by Titanocene: A Novel Route to the Formation of Si-P Bonds

Ronghua Shu,[†] Leijun Hao,[†] John F. Harrod,^{*,†} Hee-Gweon Woo,^{†,§} and Edmond Samuel[‡]

> Chemistry Department McGill University Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2K6 Laboratoire de Chimie Organométallique de l'ENSCP (URA 403 CNRS) 11 rue P. et M. Curie 75005 Paris, France

> > Received May 6, 1998

We report herein the first examples of catalytic heterodehydrocoupling between Si-H and P-H (eq 1).

$$R_2PH + RSiH_3 \xrightarrow{\text{catalyst}} R_2PSiH_2R + H_2$$
(1)

Dehydrocoupling and heterodehydrocoupling reactions to form Sn-Te, Si-N, Si-C, Si-O, and B-N bonds have all been achieved using group 4 metallocenes as catalysts.¹ A notable absence from the above list is a heterodehydrocoupling reaction to form Si-P bonds. Catalytic dehydrocoupling has some advantages over currently available methods for the synthesis of Si-P bonds² in that it can be carried out as a one-pot reaction under mild conditions and it does not produce large amounts of waste products that may be difficult to dispose of.

Some results for the heterodehydrocoupling of silanes and phosphines with a Cp₂TiMe₂ precatalyst are shown in Table 1. These reactions exemplify the range of reactivities and selectivities that are achievable. A surprising feature is the much lower reactivity of the less sterically encumbered phosphines. Such behavior is counter to that expected for σ -bond metathesis chemistry where the larger groups should hinder the formation of the transition state.

Residual tertiary Si-H bonds are inactive toward coupling; hence, all of the final products contain a Si-H bond. Residual P-H bonds are more active, and although compounds with P-H bonds were detected in the slow CyPH₂ reactions, they undergo further coupling to give longer chains. An NMR experiment showed that, in the reaction of CyPH₂ with *p*-TolSiH₃, *p*-TolH₂-SiPHCy was the initial product, followed by CyP[SiH₂*p*-Tol]₂, **1**. Subsequently, as the *p*-TolSiH₃ concentration diminished relative to that of CyPH₂, *p*-TolHSi(PHCy)₂, **2**, and other higher, uncharacterized oligomers appeared. After 2 days, white crystals of 1,3,5-tri(cyclohexylphospha)-2,4,6-tri(*p*-tolylsila)cyclohexane, **3**, began to appear and continued to accumulate, eventually reaching a yield of 30% after several weeks.³ A similar reaction of PhSiH₃ and CyPH₂ gave the previously reported phenylsila

Table 1. Heterodehydrocoupling of Phosphines and Silanes^a

phosphine	silane	reaction time (h)	product	yield (%) ^b
Ph ₂ PH	p-TolSiH ₃	2	p-TolH ₂ SiPPh ₂	84
	1 -		p-TolHSi(PPh ₂) ₂	16
Ph_2PH	p-TolSiH ₃	9	p-TolHSi(PPh ₂) ₂	$100(75)^{c}$
Ph_2PH	CySiH ₃	2	CyH ₂ SiPPh ₂	100
Ph ₂ PH	Ph ₂ SiH ₂	2	Ph ₂ HSiPPh ₂	100
Ph_2PH	PhMeSiH ₂	2	PhMeHSiPPh ₂	100
Cy_2PH	p-TolSiH ₃	3	p-TolH ₂ SiPCy ₂	8.2
-	-	24	p-TolH ₂ SiPCy ₂	24
Cy_2PH	$PhSiH_3$	24	PhH ₂ SiPCy ₂	21
Cy ₂ PH	CySiH ₃	24	CyH ₂ SiPCy ₂	100
Cy ₂ PH	PhMeSiH ₂	24	PhMeHSiPCy ₂	100
$PhPH_2$	p-TolSiH ₃	24	NR	
$PhPH_2$	CySiH ₃	24	NR	
$PhPH_2$	PhMeSiH ₂	24	NR	
$CyPH_2$	p-TolSiH ₃	3	p-TolH ₂ SiPHCy	3.3
-	-		p-TolHSi(PHCy) ₂	0.3
CyPH ²	p-TolSiH3	650	<i>c</i> -[<i>-p</i> -TolHSiPCy-] ₃	(30)

^{*a*} Reactions were run at room temperature, without solvent, using $3-5 \mod \%$ Cp₂TiMe₂ based on silane. Phosphine/silane ratio = 1:1.2 unless otherwise noted. All compounds were characterized by ¹H, ³¹P, and ²⁹Si NMR. NR: no cross-coupling observed; Tol = tolyl, Cy = cyclohexyl. ^{*b*} Based on integration of ³¹P NMR. Figures in parentheses are isolated yields... ^{*c*} Phosphine/silane ratio = 2.1.

analogue of 3 in an isolated yield of 53%.⁴ These six-membered rings could either result from coupling of 1 and 2, or from redistribution reactions. In either case their isolation is due to their low solubilities in the reaction medium.

Some steps in the mechanism of titanocene-catalyzed SiH/PH cross-dehydrocoupling are suggested by the catalytic and stoichiometric reactions of $Cp_2Ti(PMe_3)_2$, 4, with RR'PH (R = Ph, R' = Ph or H; R = Cy, R' = H). Although the presence of the PMe₃ reduces the catalytic activity of **4** relative to that of Cp₂-TiMe₂, it greatly facilitates the observation of intermediate titanocene(III) species by EPR spectroscopy. A series of experiments was performed which demonstrated the occurrence of the reactions shown in Scheme 1. A reaction of of 4 with Ph₂PH (1:1 molar ratio) at room temperature gave the known phosphido compound **5a** as dark green crystals in 86% isolated yield.⁵ With a 2:1 molar ratio of 4 to Ph₂PH, EPR spectroscopy showed 5a and the hydride **6** to be formed in a 1:1 ratio.⁶ These compounds could result from the oxidative addition of a P-H bond to 1 (or, more likely, one of its phosphine dissociation products) to give the intermediate 7. This intermediate could transfer a hydrogen atom to a second molecule of 4 to give an equal mixture of 5a and 6.7 was not observed, even at low temperatures, presumably because of its rapid reaction with 4.7

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail: harrod@omc.lan.mcgill.ca.

[†] McGill University.

[‡] l'ENSCP.

[§] Present address: Department of Chemistry, Chonnam University, Kwangju 50-757, Korea.

⁽¹⁾ For reviews see: (a) Harrod, J. F. In *Progress in Catalysis*; Smith, K. J., Sanford, E. C., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1992; p 147. (b) Corey, J. Y. *Adv. Silicon Chem.* **1991**, *1*, 327. (c) Tilley, T. D. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1993**, 26, 22 and references therein. (d) Gauvin, F.; Harrod, J. F.; Woo, H. G. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, 42, 363.

^{(2) (}a) King, R. B. Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1994; Vol. 6, p 2989. (b) King, R. B. Encyclopedia of Inorganic Chemistry; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1994; Vol. 7, p. 3790. (c) Fritz, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. **1966**, 5, 53.

⁽³⁾ NMR in C_6D_6 at 25 °C: $\delta({}^{1}H) = 0.7-2.2$ (m, C_6H_{11}), 2.01 (s, p-CH₃), 6.0 (m, 3 H, Si-H), 7.04, 7.95 (m, m, 12 H, CH₃ C_6H_4); $\delta({}^{3}P) = -160.0$ (br); $\delta({}^{29}Si) = -15.0$ (br); IR (KBr pellet): v(SiH) = 2104 (s) cm⁻¹; FAB-MS (*m*-nitrobenzyl alcohol matrix) *m*/*z*(%) 703 ((M + 1), 3), 619 ((M - C_6H_1), 9). Anal. Calcd for $C_{39}H_{57}P_3Si_3$ C, 66.63; H, 8.17; P, 13.22. Found C, 66.38; H, 8.40; P, 13.02. The spectra data are comparable to the reported analogous compounds.¹⁷

^{(4) (}a) Driess, M.; Faulhaber, M.; and Pritzkow, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 1892. (b) Driess, M.; Pritzkow, H.; Skipinski, M. and Winkler, U. Organometallics 1997, 16, 5108. (c) Driess, M.; Reisgys, M.; Prizkow, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1992, 31, 1510 and references therein. (d) Driess, M.; Angew. Chem., Int. Engl. 1991, 30, 1022. (e) Driess, M.; Fanda, A. D.; Powell, D. R.; West, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 1038.

⁽⁵⁾ Dick, D. G.; Stephan, D. W. Organometallics 1991, 10, 2811.

⁽⁶⁾ Hao, L.; Lebuis, A.-M.; Harrod, J. F. J. Chem. Soc.; Chem. Commun. 1998 1089.

⁽⁷⁾ The reaction between Ph_2PH and **4** occurred at and above -60 °C (monitored by variable temperature ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopy, which showed a gradual decrease in the peak intensity of **1** and Ph_2PH and an increase in the peak intensity of the free PMe₃ in the ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra. No resonances due to **4** were detected.



A similar sequence to that shown in Scheme 1 occurred in the reactions of 4 with primary phosphines RPH_2 (R = Ph, Cy), to vield the phosphido compounds $Cp_2Ti(PHR)(PMe_3)$ (5b, R = Ph; 5c, R = Cy), as shown by the EPR spectroscopy.⁸ 5b and 5c lose PMe₃ easily to form the phosphide-bridged dimers [Cp₂Ti- $(\mu$ -PHR)]₂ (8a, R = Ph; 8b, R = Cy). In concentrated solutions the poor solubility of these dimers pulls the PMe₃ dissociation equilibrium in the direction of 8 by precipitation of the dark purple crystals in high yield (82% for 8b and 81% for 8c) (see eq 2).



On the other hand, **5a** can be isolated either as a consequence of tighter binding of the PMe₃ or because its more sterically demanding phosphido ligand destabilizes the dimer.⁵ Addition of PMe₃ to a toluene solution of **8b** or **8c** regenerated **5b** and **5c**, respectively, proving the reversibility of eq 2.9 No reaction occurred between 4 and Cy₂PH, presumably because of steric hindrance. The rates of the reactions of 4 with phosphines to give 5 follow the order PhPH₂ > Ph₂PH \approx CyPH₂ \gg Cy₂PH.

Reaction of a toluene solution of 5a with PhSiH₃ (2 equiv) for 2 h converted it completely to $Cp_2Ti(PhSiH_2)(PMe_3)$, $\hat{9}$,¹⁰ with the production of Ph2PSiH2Ph and a minor amount of (Ph2P)2-SiHPh. Addition of Ph2PH (2 equiv) to this purple solution regenerated 5a fully in 1 h, showing that the conversion of 9 to 5a is about twice as fast as that of 5a to 9. An isolated sample of 9¹⁰ reacted similarly with Ph₂PH to give **5a** and Ph₂PSiH₂Ph.

A solution of 6 was prepared by reaction of titanocene(III) hydride with PMe₃¹⁰ and converted cleanly to **5a** or **9** by adding Ph₂PH or PhSiH₃, respectively. EPR spectroscopy showed these Scheme 2





reactions to be complete immediately following mixing at room temperature, in contrast to the much slower reactions of 5a with PhSiH₃ or that of 9 with phosphine. This accounts for the low steady-state concentration of 6 and our failure to detect it by EPR, even at temperatures down to -50 °C.

A set of reactions which conforms to the observed results is shown in Scheme 2. This scheme involves a sequence of σ -bond metatheses occurring between substrates and Ti(III)-X species, where X = silyl, phosphide, or H.¹¹ It is likely that the σ -bond metatheses are preceded by PMe₃ dissociation from the EPRobserved titanocene species, although there is no direct evidence of this.

A contributing factor to the low reactivity of primary relative to that of secondary phosphines (in addition to the insolubility of the phosphide dimers, referred to above) is the high stability of their complexes 10. 5b does react with PhSiH₃ (2 equiv) at a similar rate to 5a to give 9, and 5b is regenerated by treating 9 with PhPH₂ (2 equiv). However, under catalytic conditions, the phosphine substrate is present at a much higher concentration than the catalyst. Measurements under catalytic conditions clearly show that the excess substrate phosphine ligand completely converts 5b to 10b as the only EPR-observable product.8 On the other hand, a mixture of paramagnetic species is observed with Ph2-PH, or CyPH₂, even at phosphine concentrations of > 10:1 relative to the catalyst.

No homodehydrocoupling reaction of PhSiH₃ or of RR'PH occurred in any of the reactions described above. Reaction of 4 with excess PhSiH₃ first gives the η -²Si-H complex, Cp₂Ti(η ²-HSiH₂Ph)PMe₃,^{12,13} which slowly decomposes to give 9 with evolution of H₂. No Si-Si coupling products were detected over a period of weeks. Thus, the presence of phosphine suppresses the homodehydrocoupling reactions, either through formation of 9 (silane coupling), or 5 (phosphine coupling). This also leads to the conclusion that all of the σ -bond metatheses of the catalytic cycle shown in Scheme 2 require predissociation of the phosphine ligand.

Acknowledgment. We thank the NSERC of Canada and the Fonds FCAR du Québec for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: 1H, 29Si, and 31P NMR assignments for all of the compounds in Table 1, EPR spectra of 5a-c, 6, 9, and 10b, and experimental details for syntheses (6 pages, print/PDF). See any current masthead page for ordering information and Web access instructions.

JA981570Q

⁽⁸⁾ EPR data in toluene at 25 °C for **5b**: g = 1.9902, $a({}^{31}P) = 25.3$ G, $a'({}^{31}P) = 8.0$ G, $a'({}^{1}H) = 5.0$ G.; for the PhPD analogue of **5b**: g = 1.9902, $a({}^{31}P) = 25.3$ G, $a'({}^{31}P) = 8.0$ G, $a({}^{47/49}Ti) = 9.8$ G.; for **5c**: g = 1.9895, $a({}^{31}P) = 25.3$ G, $a'({}^{31}P) = 8.4$ G, $a({}^{1}H) = 2.8$ G.; for **10**: g = 1.9728, $a({}^{31}P) = 4.66$ G, $a({}^{47/49}Ti) = 13.20$ G.; the same EPR spectrum as **10** was observed for Cp₂Ti(PDPh)(PD₂Ph).

⁽⁹⁾ The assignments of the structures of **8** are based on this reaction since **8** shows no structurally informative resonances in their EPR, ¹H or ³¹P NMR spectra. 8b was characterized earlier by a single-crystal X-ray structure analysis and was shown to undergo the reaction shown in eq 2

^{(10) (}a) Bercaw, J. E.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 7301. (b) Marvich, R. H.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1971**, *93*, 2046. (c) Bercaw, J. E.; Marvich, R. H.; Bell, L. G.; Brintzinger, H. H. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1971**, *94*, 1219. (d) Aitken, C.; Harrod, J. F.; Šamuel, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, *108*, 4059. (d) Kool, L. B.; Rausch, M. D.; Alt, H. G.; Herberhold, M.; Thewalt, U.; Honold, B. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 310, 27 and **1987**, *320*, 37. (e) Meinhart, J. D.; Anslyn, E. C.; Grubbs, R. H. Organometallics **1989**, 8, 583. (f) Samuel, E.; Mu, Y.; Harrod, J. F.; Dromzee, Y.; Jeannin, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, *112*, 3435. (g) Gauvin, F.; Harrod, J. F.; Marrod, S. B. Samuel, S. Samuel, Samuel, Samuel, Samuel, Samuel, Samuel, Samuel, Samuel, Samuel, Sa J. F.; Samuel, E.; Britten, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 1489. (h) Xin, S.; Harrod, J. F.; Samuel, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 11563. (i) Muhoro, C. N.; Hartwig, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 1510. (j) Hao, L.; Lebuis, A.-M.; Harrod, J. F.; Samuel, E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. **1997**, 2193.

⁽¹¹⁾ We agree with the suggestion of a reviewer that a reaction of ${\bf 9}$ with phosphine to give 6 directly is less likely than its reaction to give 5 and silane.

⁽¹²⁾ Spattenstein, E.; Palma, P. Kreutzer, K. A.; Willoughby, C. A.; Davis, W. M.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 10308. (13) NMR data for Cp₂Ti(η^2 -HSiH₂Ph)PMe₃ in toluene- d_8 at -55 °C: δ (¹H) = 5.70 (s, br, 2H, SiH₂), -4.02 (d, 1H, ²J_{PH} = 77.7 Hz, TiHSi); δ (³IP) = 23.9 (d, 1P, ²J_{PH} = 77.7 Hz), -61.5 (free PMe₃).